

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,443	11/26/2003	Werner Gruppe	2625-030869	5973	
7590 04/20/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Russell D. Orkin WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C. 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818			BELL, K	BELL, KENT L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1661	-	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/723,443	GRUPPE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kent L. Bell	1661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status  application filed  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11/26/03	XI			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This					
·—					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(**) is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(**) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	» 🗀	(770 440			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4)	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) K.Z.Bell

Page 2

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

## **Objection to the Disclosure**

#### 37 CFR 1.163

The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant and the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents, and must particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the area where the plant was discovered.

### 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling:

The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted.

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

In plant applications filed under 35 U.S.C 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112 are

limited. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if

the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall

be in formal terms to the plant shown and described.

The disclosure is objected to under 35 CFR 1.163(a) and under 35 U.S.C. 112, first

paragraph, because the specification presents less than a full, clear, and complete botanical

description of the plant and the characteristics which define same per se and which distinguish the

plant from related known cultivars and antecedents.

More Specifically:

A. Page 1, lines 3 and 7, Claim, and Abstract, Applicants should delete "Interspecific"

and insert - Interspecific- - as this term is not a Genus or species designation and therefor does

not need to be set forth in italics.

B. Page 1, line 5, Applicants state the instant plant's varietal denomination is

"'GI 209/1'". However, Fig. 1 illustrates two plants of the new variety with what appears to be

a varietal denomination of 'GIESSEN 2091'. It is not understood whether two different plants

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

are being described and illustrated or the same plant is being described with two different names.

Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

C. Page 1, line 10, Applicants are requested to set forth in the specification whether the

parental cultivar, Shattenmorelle, has been patented in the United States, is currently the subject

of a pending U.S. Plant Patent application, or unpatented. If patented, --(U.S. Plant Patent No.)--

should be inserted after the cultivar name. If the subject of a pending application, such should be

referred to by serial number. If unpatented, -(unpatented)-- should be inserted after the

appropriate cultivar name.

D. Page 1, lines 21-27, Applicants should set forth in the specification the age of the

plants when described.

E. Page 1, line 30, Applicants state tree size is "small". Applicants should set forth in the

specification the typical and observed tree height and diameter. The recitation "small" is vague

and insufficient in this regard.

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

such as a USDA hardiness zone.

F. Page 2, line 1, Applicants state the instant plant's hardiness is "Good". Applicants should set forth in the specification meaningful information relative to the instant plant's hardiness

G. Page 2, line 6, Applicants should verify the trunk diameter.

H. Page 2, lines 9 and 10, Applicants state surface and flesh bark color is "Dark brown" and "Light green", respectively. Applicants should set forth in the specification a color designation for the "Dark brown" and "Light green" colorations. The recitations "Dark brown" and "Light Green" are vague and insufficient in these instances.

- I. Page 2, lines 10 and 18, Applicants states "Flesh". It is not understood what applicant intends "Flesh" to mean for these characteristics. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.
- J. Page 2, lines 12 and 25, Applicant states trunk and branch lenticel color is "White-cream". Applicants should set forth in the specification a color designation for the "White-cream" colorations. The recitation "White-cream" is vague and insufficient in these instances.

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

K. Page 2, lines 17 and 18, Applicants state surface and flesh branch color is "Brown" and "Light yellow", respectively. Applicants should set forth in the specification a color designation for the "Brown" and "Light yellow" colorations. The recitations "Brown" and "Light yellow" are vague and insufficient in these instances.

- L. Page 2, lines 21 and 22, Applicants state branch diameter and length are "Approximately 1/3 the diameter of the trunk" and "Approximately 2/3 the length of a 1 year old trunk", respectively. Applicants should set forth in the specification the typical and observed branch diameter and length. The recitations stated above are vague and insufficient in these instances.
- M. Page 3, lines 4 and 5, Applicants state adaxial and abaxial leaf color is "Bright green" and "Light green", respectively. Applicants should set forth in the specification a color designation for the "Bright green" and "Light green" colorations. The recitations "Bright green" and "Light Green" are vague and insufficient in these instances.
- N. Page 3, line 10, Applicant states leaf division is "Leaves are entire". This description for leaf division doesn't make sense. It is not understood what applicants are intending this recitation to mean. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

O. Page 3, line 14, Applicants state petiole color is "Light greenish-brown". Applicants

should set forth in the specification a color designation for the "Light greenish-brown" coloration.

The recitation "Light greenish-brown" is vague and insufficient in this instance.

P. Page 3,lines 23 and 24, Applicants state adaxial and abaxial vein color is "Yellow" and

"Light yellow", respectively. Applicants should set forth in the specification a color designation

for the "Yellow" and "Light yellow" colorations. The recitations "Yellow" and "Light yellow"

are vague and insufficient in these instances.

O. Page 3, line 26, Applicants should set forth in the specification information relative to

the instant plant's fruit including the typical and observed fruit shape, length, diameter, weight,

number per cluster or single, skin and flesh coloration with reference to the employed color chart,

acidity, brix, aroma, taste. The recitation "Similar to Prunus avium" is vague and insufficient in

this instance.

R. Page 3, lines 28 and 29, Applicants state flower arrangement and shape are "Similar to

Prunus avium". Applicants should set forth in the specification the instant plant's typical and

observed flower arrangement and shape. The recitation "Similar to Prunus avium" is vague and

insufficient in this instance.

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

S. Page 3, line 30 to page 4, line 3, Applicants should set forth in the specification additional information relative to the instant plant's bud including the typical and observed bud shape.

T. Page 4, lines 2 and 3, Applicants state closed bud and before bursting bud color is "Yellow-brown" and "Greenish brown", respectively. Applicants should set forth in the specification a color designation for the "Yellow-brown" and "Greenish brown" colorations. The recitations "Yellow-brown" and "Greenish brown" are vague and insufficient in these instances.

U. Page 4, lines 5, Applicants state lastingness of bloom is "Two to three weeks". It is not understood whether applicants intend this recitation to mean the entire tree is in bloom for two to three weeks or one bloom lasts two to three weeks. Applicants should set forth in the specification the time the entire plant is in bloom and the lastingness of an individual bloom.

V. Page 4, lines 9 and 10, Applicants should set forth in the specification additional information relative to the instant plant's petals including the typical and observed petal length, width, apex and base descriptors, texuture, and coloration (upper and lower surfaces) with reference to the employed color chart.

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

W. Applicants should set forth in the specification information relative to the instant

plant's sepal, if produced, including the typical and observed sepal number, shape, length,

diameter, apex and margin descriptors, and coloration (both surfaces) with reference to the

employed color chart.

X. Page 4, lines 12 and 13, Applicants state flower upper surface and lower surface color

is "White". Applicants should set forth in the specification a color designation for the "White"

colorations. The recitation "White" is vague and insufficient in these instances.

Y. Page 4, lines 19 and 20, Applicants state upper and lower surface peduncle color is

"Yellow-green" and "Yellow to brownish green", respectively. Applicants should set forth in the

specification a color designation for the "Yellow-green" and "Yellow to brownish green"

colorations. The recitations "Yellow-green" and "Yellow to brownish green" are vague and

insufficient in these instances.

Z. Page 4, line 21, Applicants state the instant plant's natural flowering season is

"Comparable to Prunus avium". Applicants should set forth in the specification the month or

months the instant plant blooms if different than what applicants have previously stated, "April",

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

page 4, line 4. The recitation "Comparable to Prunus avium" is vague and insufficient in this

regard.

AA. Page 5, lines 10 and 11, Applicants should set forth in the specification the typical

and observed number of pistils per flower.

AB. Applicants should set forth in the specification information relative to the instant

plant's seed including the typical and observed shape, length, diameter, and coloration with

reference to the employed color chart.

AC. Applicants should set forth in the specification information relative to the instant

plant's pedicels, if produced, including the typical and observed length, diameter, and coloration

with reference to the employed color chart.

AD. Applicants state color references are primarily to the R.H.S. Colour Chart.

However, nowhere in the specification, Claim, or abstract has applicant used the referenced Color

Chart. Applicants should utilize the referenced Color chart so as to provide an adequate color

description for the characteristics noted in the above paragraphs.

Page 10

Art Unit: 1661

**Detailed Action** 

AE. Page 6, The Claim, lines 1 and 2, Applicants should delete "used as rootstock" as

this information has already been stated in the specification. The Claim encompasses the entire

plant which was described in the specification.

The above listing may not be complete. Applicants should carefully review the disclosure

and import into same any corrected or additional information which would aid in botanically

identifying and/or distinguishing the cultivar for which United States Plant Patent protection is

sought.

Claim Rejection

35 U.S.C. 112, 1st & 2nd Paragraphs

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs as not being

supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant for the reasons set forth in

the Objection to the Disclosure Section above.

**Comments** 

Applicants should note the new amendment format which is now mandatory (Web site

stated below).

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/revamdtprac.htm

Art Unit: 1661

#### **Detailed Action**

Applicants should send all correspondence to the following address:

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

## **Future Correspondence**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Kent L. Bell whose telephone number is (571) 272-0973. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached at (571) 272-0974.

The fax phone number for the group is (571) 272-1600.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

K. L. Bell

KENT BELL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kanta Bell